*The Geophysical Corner is a regular column in the EXPLORER, edited by Satinder Chopra, chief geophysicist for Arcis Seismic Solutions, TGS, Calgary, Canada, and a past AAPG-SEG Joint Distinguished Lecturer.*







*Figure 1 (left): Location map showing the western Barents Sea and some of the some of the structural elements in that area. The corridor in white thick dashed lines shows the Hoop Fault system running roughly in a NE-SW direction. The location of the seismic data volume that was picked up for the present study is shown with the yellow dashed rectangle, and falls within the Hoop Fault corridor. The drilled wells are marked in white. Abbreviations: SD-Svalis Dome; MB-Maud Basin. (Image generated using Google Earth). Figure 2 (right): Figure 2: Well-to-seismic tie for Atlantis well. The wavelet extracted from the seismic data is shown above. The traces in blue are the modeled traces and the seismic traces at the location of the well are in red.*

# Characterizing Shallow Seismic Anomalies

By SATINDER CHOPRA, RITESH KUMAR SHARMA, GRAZIELLA KIRTLAND GRECH and BENT ERLEND KJØLHAMAR

Many areas of the western Barents Sea host shallow as well as deep-seated hydrocarbon accumulations from which fluids are migrating to the sea floor. Evidence of past episodes of gas migration can be seen in the form of pockmarks on the sea floor as well as vertical pipes or chimneys on seismic sections. Natural gas hydrates are also present in some areas and free gas is present below the base of the hydrate stability layer, which is typically shallow.


CHOPRA


SHARMA

Such shallow migrating hydrocarbon fluids as well as free gas below the hydrates represent potential hazards for drilling deeper wells as well as the construction of sea bed installations. Thus the detailed distribution of shallow migrating fluids or the presence of gas in the shallow zones in the areas under investigation is required, for which data with high vertical and spatial resolution is required.

A portion (500 square kilometers) of the 3-D seismic volume covering over 22,000 square kilometers in and around the Hoop Fault Complex (figure 1) was picked up for carrying out a feasibility analysis aimed at characterizing the bright seismic amplitude anomalies, and also examining the fault and channel features in detail. For the present exercise, the objectives were to look for potential reservoir leads within the Stø (Mid-Jurassic) and Kobbe (Mid-Triassic) formations (figure 2), detect the potential prospects associated with direct hydrocarbon indicators (DHIs), and study the areal extent of the potential reservoirs and how they are impacted by the fault configurations present in the interval of interest.

A cursory examination of the 3-D seismic volume (by way of vertical and horizontal sections) reveals bright amplitude anomalies in the shallow intervals, interspersed with many discontinuities interpreted as faults (figure 3). Most of the bright amplitude anomalies appear to be coming from channels that show up well on the horizontal displays (time or horizon slices).




*Figure 3: (a) Segment of an inline showing high amplitude anomalies. The display contrast has been reduced so as to depict these anomalies clearly. The equivalent segments of the same inline extracted from the 20 Hz and 60 Hz volumes generated using matching pursuit spectral decomposition, are shown in (b) and (c) respectively. Notice the anomalies indicated with yellow arrows exhibit high spectral magnitudes on the 20 Hz section and not on the 60 Hz section. (Data courtesy of TGS, Asker, Norway.)*

There may be several reasons for an amplitude anomaly to show up on seismic data. Besides seismic processing artifacts, a clean, high-porosity wet sand, tight sand, low-saturation gas sand or a lateral change in lithology could exhibit a high amplitude anomaly. Similarly, streaks of salt, volcanics, or carbonates could indicate anomalies. Needless to mention, a combination of one or more of the above-stated geologic conditions could exhibit false amplitude anomalies. Processing of seismic data in an amplitude-friendly way and gaining a good knowledge about the geology of the area under investigation together with the expected seismic response through modeling are established ways of lowering the uncertainty in the analysis.

Distinguishing seismic anomalies associated with the presence of hydrocarbons from those that are not could be challenging. But it is important that such challenges be addressed so as to prevent costly drilling failures.

A straightforward choice for accomplishing this would be to put the data through impedance inversion (so pockets of low-impedance/density, indicative of hydrocarbons or high porosity can be picked up) and also generate one or more discontinuity attributes such as coherence and curvature, so that the definitions of the channels and faults stand out clearly. Thus by adopting a workflow that entails the generation of P-impedance, S-impedance and density attributes and examining these or other derived attributes in crossplot space, it is possible to identify the fluid-associated anomalies.

## Spectral Decomposition Application

It is always instructive to carry out alternative workflows with different tools and compare the results for assessing the uncertainty in the exercise. Keeping in line with this strategy, we explore the application of spectral decomposition to the data at hand. The decomposition of the seismic signal band into constituent

Continued on next page


KJØLHAMAR


GRECH

Bent Erlend Kjølhamar holds a master's degree in geology from the University of Oslo, and has been working for TGS for the last 20 years. He is TGS director of project development for Europe and Russia.

Graziella Kirtland Grech holds a doctorate in exploration geophysics from the University of Calgary, Canada. She has over 20 years' industry experience in both technical and leadership roles. Since 2012 she has been the director for processing and reservoir services at Arcis (a TGS company).

Continued from previous page

frequencies is referred to as spectral decomposition. It is a useful tool that has important applications including differentiation of lateral and vertical lithologic and/or pore-fluid changes as a DHI indicator, and seismic geomorphological applications aimed at delineating stratigraphic traps. For more details, the readers are encouraged to look through the December 2013, January to March 2014 and March 2016 Geophysical Corner articles published in the EXPLORER.

In the context of DHIs, the basic premise is that reflections from fluid-saturated rocks are frequency-dependent. It is well known that such reflection coefficient (water/gas) ratios are three times stronger at 14 Hz than at 50 Hz, and thus the observed reflection amplitudes can be used for detecting liquid saturated areas in thin-porous layers. In the presence of hydrocarbons, the encasing formations selectively reflect some particular frequencies and not others, leading to high amplitudes on seismic sections. This is due to the fact that higher frequencies suffer higher attenuation while traversing hydrocarbon reservoirs. In the event the reservoirs are thin, the tuning of reflections also exacerbates the amplitude responses from reservoirs. It has been demonstrated in the geophysical literature that the instantaneous spectral analysis of seismic data shows low-frequency modes of the seismic wavefield providing more useful information for the study of fluid-saturated rocks.

We used the matching pursuit method of spectral decomposition on the data at hand and noticed that many of the high amplitude anomalies are associated with higher spectral amplitudes. In figure 3a we show a segment of inline from the input seismic volume showing some high amplitude anomalies. We plot the equivalent spectral magnitude displays at 20 Hz and 60 Hz and are shown in figures 3b and c. Notice the high spectral magnitude values seen at 20 Hz, but not on the 60 Hz display, even though the bandwidth of the data extends to above 80 Hz. We do not claim that this analysis is conclusive, but it is a method for direct detection of hydrocarbons, and can be taken forward for confirmation.

See **Barents Sea**, page 26

**PRO**TRACKS

# SIGs and TIGs Open to Non-members

By JONATHAN P. ALLEN, Young Professionals Co-Chair

With all the excitement of the 100th anniversary of AAPG at the Annual Convention in Houston last month, there was a bit of news that you may have missed: there is now a way for AAPG Members and non-members alike to join the Special Interest Groups (SIGs) and Technical Interest Groups (TIGS).


ALLEN

This is exciting news for the YPs, as one of the YP SIG's main challenges has been connecting and communicating with not only AAPG Members who identify as young professionals, but also with all Members who support the mission of the YPs and want information about our current activities.

### Not Just For Members

The Association leadership has made the decision to allow non-members to temporarily join SIGs and TIGs to preview what these groups have to offer. The idea is to allow a prospective Member to sign-up for a SIG/TIG, but their membership will expire after one year if he or she still hasn't become an AAPG Member.

This is an excellent way to introduce people to the AAPG community and ultimately attract more Members to the Association. Our hope is that YP members from our sister societies and beyond will use this approach to learn more about who the AAPG YPs are, what we are doing and decide that this is a group they would like to join and become actively involved with.

### How Do I Join?

I know everyone one of you – at least, all the non-members reading this – is asking yourself, "This is great! How do I join?"

I'm glad you asked. Joining is easy:

- First, navigate to the SIGs and TIGs websites. You can do that by either going to the homepage at AAPG.org, and under the 'Career' tab, select "Special Interest Groups (SIGs)" or "Technical Interest Groups (TIGs)". Alternatively, you can go directly to the pages at AAPG.org/sigs or AAPG.org/tigs. As of this writing, there are two SIGs and 15 TIGs you can join.
- Second, be sure you're logged into your AAPG account. If you don't have an account, you must create one. Then, join as many of the SIGs and TIGs that interest you.
- Third, manage your subscription settings. You can select whether to receive email communication from the SIG/TIG.

I would strongly encourage everyone who is interested in the YPs to join the YP SIG and subscribe to our communications. The current SIG leadership are looking forward to communicating more effectively with our members and providing relevant products and services. As AAPG looks at our next 100 years, the YPs are excited to be involved with the future of the organization and we look forward to seeing our SIG membership grow.

Looking for more ways to communicate with the YP SIG? Follow us on Twitter and Instagram @aapgypsig, like our page on Facebook or join our LinkedIn group.

**IN**MEMORY

**Frank Adler**, 96
Littleton, Colo., Feb. 3, 2017
**David Birsa**, 66
Spicewood, Texas, April 21, 2016
**Thomas Fitzgerald**, 93
Alexandria, Va, Feb. 13, 2017
**Paul Krutak**, 82
Canon City, Colo., Dec. 7, 2016
**Thomas Ladd**, 68
Bakersfield, Calif., Feb. 27, 2017
**William LeMay**, 82
Aiken, S.C., April 5, 2016
**Richard Ornelas**, 90
Middleburg, Va., Jan. 26, 2017
**Georges Pardo**, 96
Naples, Fla., March 1, 2017
**Harold Peterson**, 83
Austin, Texas, March 16, 2017
**Kurt Sickles**, 69
Bakersfield, Calif., July 1, 2015
**Marvin Smith**, 95
Houston, Texas, Dec. 13, 2016
**John Wesselman**, 89
Montgomery, Texas, Sept. 6, 2016
**Thomas Wright**, 86
San Anselmo, Calif., Nov. 17, 2016

*(Editor's note: "In Memory" listings are based on information received from the AAPG membership department. Age at time of death, when known, is listed. When the member's date of death is unavailable, the person's membership classification and anniversary date are listed.)*

## Barents Sea

from page 21

Besides improving the quality of the existing seismic data through reprocessing (with the latest algorithms) and their integration with borehole data, the state-of-the-art acquisition of fresh data with more powerful acquisition technology are being carried out in the Barents Sea. In order to improve the quality of the data being used for interpretation and analysis as well as effectively derisk the prospects ahead of drilling, the state-of-the-art technology is being used for its collection. Besides this, diverse data types, both geological and geophysical, are being brought together so as to come up with an integrated assessment for the prospects. Multibeam seafloor mapping and sampling is also being done by some of the operators in that area. Plans are also under way for integrating all this data for mitigating exploration risk.