Figure 1 – Time surface from a 3-D seismic data volume from Alberta (a) Corresponding (b) most-positive curvature and (c) most-negative curvature computed from the picked horizon. Note the NS and EW trending acquisition footprint. Horizon slices through volumetric calculations of (d) most-positive (long-wavelength) and (e) most-negative (long-wavelength) curvature. Block arrows indicate broad geologic flexures seen in the vertical seismic while the footprint artifacts seen on the horizon-based displays are not seen.

Data courtesy of Arcis Corporation, Calgary

GEOPHYSICAL CORNER

Using Curvature to Map Faults, Fractures

(The Geophysical Corner is a regular column in the EXPLORER, edited by Bob A. Hardage, senior research scientist at the Bureau of Economic Geology, the University of Texas at Austin. This month's column, the first of a two-part series, deals with seismic curvature attributes: mapping faults and fractures.)

By SATINDER CHOPRA
and KURT J. MARFURT

Curvature is a measure of the deviation of a surface from a plane. The more a surface is structurally flexed, folded or faulted, the larger its curvature.

Curvature can indicate domes and sags associated with salt and shale diapirism, differential compaction and diagenetic dissolution and collapse, as well as predict paleostress and areas favorable for natural fractures.

Curvature is usually computed from picked horizon surfaces interpreted on 3-D seismic data volumes. An interpreter defines surface patches of a given size, which appropriate software algorithms then fit with a mathematical quadratic surface.

Curvature measures computed from the coefficients of this quadratic surface include:
✓ Curvedness.
✓ Azimuth of minimum curvature.
✓ Shape index.
✓ Minimum, maximum, most-positive, most-negative.
✓ Dip.
✓ Strike curvatures.

We find the most-positive and most-negative curvatures to be the easiest measure to visually correlate to features of geologic interest.

* * *

Figure 1a shows a time-structure map at about 1850 ms, interpreted from a 3-D seismic volume acquired in Alberta, Canada. The horizon was manually picked across a grid of control lines to generate the horizon-based curvature images displayed in figures 1b and c.

Both of these displays are contaminated by strong NS and EW acquisition footprints. Whether due to limitations in the survey design, coherent noise or systematic errors in data processing, an acquisition footprint is related to the source and receiver geometry and has little correlation to the subsurface geology.

Horizons picked on noisy seismic data contaminated with acquisition footprint, or picked through regions where no consistent impedance contrast exists (such as channels, turbidites, mass transport complexes and karst), can lead to inferior curvature measures.

A significant advance in curvature analysis has been the volumetric estimation of curvature, which alleviates the need for picking horizons in regions through where no continuous surface exists.

Even when spatial filtering is used to minimize effects of an acquisition footprint, horizon-based curvature estimates may still suffer from footprint artifacts. In contrast, curvature attribute values extracted from volumetric curvature computations yield displays that are free of artifacts and make more geologic sense.

For example, figures 1d and e show the most-positive and most-negative volumetric curvature attributes extracted along the horizon surface in figure 1a.

Notice that these displays are free of the NS and EW artifacts seen in figures 1b and c, and show arcuate folds indicated by yellow arrows.

The advantages of volumetric attributes are two-fold:

✓ As shown in figure 1, the images have a higher signal-to-noise ratio. Volumetric estimates of curvature are computed not from one picked data sample, but rather from a vertical window of seismic samples (in our case, 11 samples) and are statistically less sensitive to noise.

✓ Not every geologic feature that we wish to interpret falls along a horizon that can be interpreted. Often the target of interest falls above or below a strong, easily picked horizon.


Figure 2 – Zoom of chair-displays where the vertical display is a dip line through the 3-D seismic volume and the horizontal displays are time slices from (a) coherence, (b) most-positive (long-wavelength), (c) most-positive (short-wavelength) and (d) most-negative (short-wavelength) curvature attribute volumes. The fault lineaments correlate with the upthrown and downthrown signatures on the seismic.

Figure 3 – Horizon slice (a) extracted from the most-positive (long-wavelength) curvature attribute with lineaments corresponding to the faults marked as yellow lines. Orientations of the marked lineaments (yellow) in (a) combined in the form of a Rose diagram (b).

Curvature images having different spatial wavelengths provide different perspectives of the same geology. Tight (short-wavelength) curvature delineates small details, such as intense, highly localized fracture systems. Broad (long-wavelength) curvature enhances smooth, subtle flexures that are difficult to see in conventional seismic data, but which are often correlated to fracture zones that are below seismic resolution and to collapse features and diagenetic alterations.

* * *

Figure 2 shows displays of strat-cubes near 1620 ms from coherence, most-positive (both long-wavelength and short-wavelength) and from short-wavelength, most-negative curvature volumes that intersect a random line that cuts across the fault/fracture trends.

The red peaks (figures 2b and c) on the fault lineaments (running almost north-south) correlate with the upthrown signature on the seismic data.

The most-negative curvature strat-slice (figure 2d) shows the downthrown edges on both sides of the faults highlighted in blue.

* * *

Figure 3a shows the horizon slice extracted from the most-positive curvature volume at a zone of interest.

There are a number of fracture lineaments delineated by yellow picks. The density and orientations of these lineaments have been combined into the rose diagram shown in figure 3b, which retains the colors of the lineaments. This rose diagram can be compared with a similar diagram obtained from borehole image logs to gain confidence in the seismic-to-log calibration.

Once a favorable match is obtained, the interpretation of fault/fracture orientations and the intervals over which they dominate can then be trusted for a more quantitative analysis – which, in turn, is useful for optimal characterization of reservoirs.

* * *

Next month's column will illustrate the application of these attributes for mapping channels, levees and other stratigraphic features – particularly in older rocks that have undergone differential compaction. ❏

(Editor's note: Chopra is with Arcis Corp., Calgary, Canada; Marfurt is with the University of Oklahoma. Both are AAPG members.)

DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU
&
MPRG, DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON

THE UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU

Patron
Prof. Amitabh Mattoo
Vice-Chancellor
University of Jammu
amitabh.mattoo@gmail.com

Technical Conveners

G. M. Bhat
University of Jammu
bhatgm@rediffmail.com,
bhatgm@jugaa.com

Jonathan Craig Eni, Milan
Chairman, Maghreb Petroleum
Research Group
jonathan.craig@eni.it

Juergen Thurow
UCL, London
j.thurow@ucl.ac.uk

Bindra Thusu
Maghreb Petroleum Research
Group, b.thusu@ucl.ac.uk

Andrea Cozzi, Eni India Ltd.
andrea.cozzi@eni-india.com

Moin Khan
Pakistan Petroleum Limited
Pakistan, k_moin@ppl.com.pk

Zuwena Al-Rawahi
Exploration Petroleum
Development, **Oman**
Zuwena.rawahi@pdo.co.om

Madan Lal (DGM, Rtd. ONGC)
Co-convener

Malcolm V. Lall
(DGH, Ministry of Petroleum)
Co-ordinator

Diamictite, Padri Gali, Jammu

Two Day International Conference

Geology and Hydrocarbon Potential of the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian Basins in India, Pakistan and the Middle East

February 20 - 21, 2008

Venue
General Zorawar Singh Auditorium, University of Jammu

Organizers
The Geology Department, University of Jammu &
Maghreb Petroleum Research Group, University College London

Neoproterozoic Limestones at Jammu

This two-day conference will provide an excellent forum for viewing and discussing the geological and tectonic evolution of the region. It will build on the success of the Global Infracambrian Hydrocarbon Systems Conference organized at the Geological Society of London in November 2006 which stimulated immense interest amongst academic and petroleum geoscientists. With the current petroleum exploration activity in the Indian sub-continent, this conference will also offer an opportunity for evaluation of the hydrocarbon potential of the Neoproterozoic - Cambrian basins extending across the western border of India and Pakistan and further west into the Middle East.

Themes: Thrust Tectonics, Snowball Earth and Neoproterozoic Petroleum Systems, Productive Petroleum Systems Analogues and Examples; Role of Salt in Sediment Distribution and Petroleum Systems Development; Palaeobiology/Biostratigraphy; Palaeogeography and Palaeoclimate; Isotopes and Organic Geochemistry

Keynote Speakers: N. Butterfield (Cambridge) Palaeobiomarkers; Martin Brasier (Oxford) Palaeobiology/Isotope; N. Christie-Blick (Columbia) Sequence Development in Neoproterozoic-Cambrian Basins; Alan Collins (Adelaide) Plate Reconstruction; Graham Shields (UCL) Neoproterozoic Sedimentation, Glaciations and Isotopes; B. Levell (Shell International EP BV) Petroleum Systems; Ameed Ghori (Perth) Neoproterozoic Petroleum Geochemistry of India, Pakistan, Oman and Australia; G. Halverson (Adelaide) Ediacaran, Marine Sulphate Reservoirs; R. Sorkhabi (EGI, Utah) Extending the Tethys Back in Time; D. K. Mukhopadhyay (IIT, Roorkee, India) Thrust Tectonics and Petroleum Prospectivity, NW Himalaya; V. K. Sibal (DGH, India); D. K. Pande (ONGC).

Field Trips: A 4-day pre-conference field trip and a core workshop to examine the Neoproterozoic – Early Cambrian succession in West Rajasthan; 2-day post-conference field trip will examine the Neoproterozoic stromatolite bearing dolomitic sequence in Jammu.

Short Courses and IGCP 512 Business Meeting (19th February): One-day pre-conference short courses on Geological Time Scale (Alan Smith, Cambridge & S. K. Shah, India); IGCP Event: discussion on the establishment of a stratigraphically-defined Cryogenian Period, including recognition of Neoproterozoic glaciogenic strata, their global correlation (isotopes, biostratigraphy, geochronology) and significance in Earth history (Graham Shields, UCL); Hydrocarbon Systems Reservoir Management for teachers and students (Ajay Sapru, Baker Hughes); How oil is discovered and basic lessons on Energy Efficiency for school children (Jonathan Craig, Milan & Jammu University team); Petroleum Geochemistry (Ameed Ghori, Perth and N. Butterfield, Cambridge).

Register Now Online!
For Conference details including abstract / manuscript submission, registration, accommodation, etc. visit our websites
http://www.jugaa.com, http://www.jammuuniversity.in

Contacts
1. Professor G. M. Bhat, Conference Office, Department of Geology, University of Jammu, Jammu-Tawi 180006 (India) Tel/Fax: +91 191-2456497, 9419200120 (M) e-mail: bhatgm@rediffmail.com, bhatgm@jugaa.com
2. Dr. Bindra Thusu, University College London; Mobile: +44-(0) 7817285957, e-mail: b.thusu@ucl.ac.uk

UCL Maghreb Petroleum Research Group & the Geology Department, University of Jammu
www.earthsciences.ucl.ac.uk/research/mprg/
http://www.jammuuniversity.in
At the forefront of Petroleum Geoscience
http://www.jugaa.com